

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/615,491 07/07/2003		Bryan Loomas	435172003700	8889		
36544 7	590 07/06/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
BRONCUS TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			VRETTAKO	VRETTAKOS, PETER J		
BUILDING AS 1400 N. SHOR	8 RELINE BLVD.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MOUNTAIN V	VIEW, CA 94043		3739	. 3739		

DATE MAILED: 07/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/615,49		LOOMAS ET AL.				
		Examiner	<u>'</u>	Art Unit				
		Peter J. Vr	ottakos	3739				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication				idress			
Period fo								
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR INTERIOR STATUTORY PERIOD FOR INTERIOR DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 to SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no ever tion. s, a reply within the statu period will apply and will y statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication,			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	31 May 2005.						
·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)□ 7)□	4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
Applicati	ion Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO or No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/615,491

Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

This is a second Restriction Requirement. The first involved the straightforward separation of method and apparatus groupings of claims. The Applicant has elected without traverse the grouping of claims 1-38, which included a method (claims 1-38).

This second Restriction is imposed because from claims 1-38 two distinct methods exist, which were not noticed until substantive examination began.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-23, drawn to a method for treating a lung with an RF energy device, classified in class 606, subclass 41.
- II. Claims 24-38, drawn to a method for improving gaseous exchange in a lung, classified in class 128, subclass 898.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation one which involves RF ablation (group I), and another which involves channel creation (with no mention of how) for improving gaseous exchange in a lung (group II).

Application/Control Number: 10/615,491

Art Unit: 3739

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Sanjay Bagade on 7-4-05 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Note: currently all claims excluding claim 3 would receive a 35 USC § 112 2nd paragraph rejection for being indefinite. There is no significant positive recitation of *how* the channels are created, merely that they are created. The Examiner strongly urges the Applicant to elect group I, *supra*, and insert at least claim 3 into claim 1. Electing group II might result in transfer of the application to another Art Unit and further delay. (The application has currently been assigned within the Office due to claim 3's mention of RF energy.)

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 3739

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter J. Vrettakos whose telephone number is 571-272-4775. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C. Dvorak can be reached on 571-272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pete Vrettakos July 4, 2005 ROY D. GIBSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER